

CITY OF MARKHAM

ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

SUBMISSION OUTLINES for VARIOUS ENGINEERING REPORTS / STUDIES

July, 2023

ANNEX 2-1

A. GENERAL

The following is a list of various engineering reports that may be required for development applications:

- 1) Master Environmental Servicing Plan (MESP) and Scoped Environmental Master Servicing Plan for Intensification Areas (SEMSPIA)
- 2) Functional Servicing Report (FSR)
- 3) Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Report
- 4) Stormwater Management (SWM) Report (including major and minor system design)
- 5) SWM Pond / Facility Design Report
- 6) SWM Pond Operation and Maintenance (O & M) Report
- 7) Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) Phase One and Phase Two Reports and Environmental Clearance and Reliance Letter
- 8) Geotechnical Report
- 9) Hydrogeological / Water Balance Analysis Report
- 10) Noise Study
- 11) Transportation Impact Study
- 12) Internal Functional Traffic Design Study

All reports must be signed, dated and stamped by a Professional Engineer in good standing or a Qualified Person.

All reports shall be prepared in accordance with *Engineering Report Guide* dated November 8, 2019 or as amended from time to time, and prepared by Profession Engineering Ontario.

All reports shall be prepared in compliance with the City's Engineering Standard Drawings and Design Criteria (City Standards), and applicable City policies, specifications, guidelines and by-laws. Where no City Standards Drawings exist, the Ontario Provincial Standards (OPS) for Roads and Public Works, the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC)-Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads, and the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Guidelines etc. may be used, subject to their acceptance by the Director of Engineering.

A digital copy of the final report shall be provided in one file in an adobe acrobat format for each submission. All units must be shown in the metric system.

Additional reports, if requested by the City, will need to be submitted in support of the development applications.

1) <u>Master Environmental Servicing Plan (MESP) and Scoped Environmental Master Servicing Plan</u> <u>for Intensification Areas (SEMSPIA)</u>

The following provides an overview of the City of Markham's Submission Requirements for Master Environmental Servicing Plans (MESP's). The MESP is to be prepared in support of Secondary Plans for specific development areas, and is to be completed in conformance with the requirements outlined in the City's Official Plan. These submission requirements are intended to be generic and summarize the information requirements for an MESP completed anywhere within the City of Markham. Nevertheless, it is recognized that the submission requirements may be tailored to be specific to the available information and / or guidance from higher level studies (such as the Subwatershed Study for the City's Future Urban Area).

In circumstances where a Subwatershed Study (for instance) precedes a Secondary Plan and MESP process, some of the data/analyses listed herein may not require new work or it may be appropriate to build upon the technical analyses and assessments conducted in the primary or parent studies, subject to scope concurrence with the City and its partners. References in the table below to the need to refine SWS recommendations are intended to apply to circumstances where refinement may be needed if there are substantive differences in land use assumptions between the MESP and the SWS and / or legislative requirements, policies or engineering standards that have arisen since the completion of the SWS (e.g. Species At Risk (SAR), Climate Change, etc.).

These Submission Requirements summarize only the information and content which is required for an MESP. Further details of the scope of work required for MESP's (i.e. analytical tools and methodology, monitoring, field investigations, mapping and reporting formats and requirements, etc.) are to be defined in the Terms of Reference for each specific MESP. Development proponents are required to consult with the City of Markham and the City's Study Partners (e.g., TRCA, MNRF, Region of York, adjacent municipalities, as appropriate) to establish and prepare the Terms of Reference for each MESP, prior to initiation.

Section	Description		
1.0	 Executive Summary Integrated summary of the work completed and conclusions of the individual sections Identification of inter-relationship between the various sections Concise summary of the significance and implications of the findings of the MESI Summary of the overall conclusions reached 		
2.0	 Summary of the overall conclusions reached Introduction and Background Purpose for the MESP or SEMSPA Relevant information from Sub-watershed Studies or other relevant studies which addresses the submission requirements of the MESP Study area location, attributes, descriptions, figures, and boundaries Setting - existing land-use, natural features, etc. Study team Maps depicting land ownership and participation in the study Report structure outline Background review of existing relevant studies (e.g. transportation studies, approved watershed, sub-watershed, drainage studies, fisheries management plans, best management practices guides, natural heritage systems planning guides, flood and stormwater management studies, etc.) 		

The submission outlines for **MESP and SEMSPIA** includes, but not limited to, the following:

	<u> </u>
	required
	Planning and Environmental Policy Context
	Identify and define applicable federal, provincial, regional, and municipal planning and environmental policies
3.0	Reference existing relevant Drainage Studies and Watershed, Sub-watershed and / or Master Drainage studies
	 Define requirements for compliance with sub-watershed and other applicable studies
	 Identify Greenway System and natural heritage and hydrologic features identified for protection in the Official Plan
	Characterization of Existing Conditions: Constraints and Opportunities
	Monitoring
	 Pre-development monitoring of adequate duration established consultatively with City and applicable external agency staff
	Physiography
	Topography and geology, as well as surficial soils description and location mapping
	Surface Water Resources
	 Hydrology and hydraulics assessment including drainage plan boundaries and patterns, hydrologic modeling, floodplain mapping (supported with hydraulic modelling) and identification of flood hazards including downstream risk areas Water budget assessment based upon water balance for surface water within
	input from groundwater component
	Identification of headwater drainage features, if any
	Surface water quality assessment including retrofit and restoration
	opportunities, and benthics (where appropriate)
	Groundwater Resources Hydrogeological investigations including: Existing groundwater levels flow
	direction and gradients, Aquifer locations and vulnerability, Groundwater recharge and discharge zones, Base-flow contribution to wetlands and
	watercourses
4.0	Major groundwater resources and groundwater users in the area
	Refine / define targets for overall water balance as necessary
	Water Budget / Water Balance
	Establish water budget for existing conditions including calculation of annual infiltration and establish targets for overall water balance to ensure the sustainability of wetlands woodlands etc and to manage runoff
	 Identify features and provide information including how each feature is fed
	within their catchment areas (groundwater / surface water), hydroperiod, and
	expected timing to return to "normal" conditions
	➢ Prepare stage / storage / discharge information for storage based features
	using survey and monitoring data
	Prepare and calibrate hydrologic / hydrogelogic modeling or calculations using manifesting data
	monitoring data
	 Source water Frotection Plan to identify Wetland Protection Area. Groundwater Vulnerability. Significant
	Groundwater Recharge Areas, Ecologically Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas, and Surface Water Intake Protection Zones, etc.
	Fluvial Geomorphology
	Existing land use fluvial geomorphologic conditions including reach delineation,
	rapid assessments, detailed field assessment, erosion hazard delineation
	including downstream risk areas, erosion threshold determination, meander
	beit width assessments for major tributaries, meander belt width delineation in support of Redside Dace babitat limits
	Existing land use fluvial geomorphologic conditions including reach delineation, rapid assessments, detailed field assessment, erosion hazard delineation including downstream risk areas, erosion threshold determination, meander belt width assessments for major tributaries, meander belt width delineation in support of Redside Dace habitat limits

	 Aquatic Resources Aquatic community description - physical conditions such as channel form, instream cover, spawning habitat, refuge habitat, riparian cover, etc. Fisheries community composition and significant / sensitive species including aquatic species or communities that have designations under the Endangered Species Act or the Species At Risk Act Hydrologically sensitive features and key hydrologic features
	 Natural features' dependencies on surface water and / or groundwater based upon features-based water balance Identification and classification of all wetland features (provincially and locally
	significant wetlands and unevaluated wetlands) Identification and delineation of valleyland features and buffers
	Terrestrial Resources
	 Vegetation community description and floral inventories including ✓ Ecosystem context
	 Community description using MNRF ELC standards Identification of Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI) Identification of vegetative communities and significant / sensitive species including species or communities that have designations under the Endangered Species Act or the Species At Risk Act Identification and assessment of woodlands using Woodlands Evaluation Criteria including feature delineation Wildlife description and wildlife inventories Habitat conditions and species. Acceptable methods should be clarified for birds, amphibians / reptiles and mammals. (consultant will provide description of the work for our review before they do the work) Significant wildlife species and habitat conditions Conduct breeding bird and amphibian surveys, as requested by TRCA or MNRF Significant species including local, Regional, Provincial significant species, communities of conservation concern as per TRCA rankings, and species or communities that have designations under the Endangered Species Act or the Species At Risk Act Identification of wildlife linkage passages and connectivity opportunities Confirmation of the Greenway System Integrated characterization (Task 4) of how the existing Greenway System is interconnected, including natural heritage and hydrologic features and their functions. This would include: Identify natural linkages and ecological corridor functions Identification of vegetation protection zones (i.e. buffers) Identification of complementary land uses and potential enhancement lands
	Potential Development Impacts and Proposed Mitigation / Enhancements
	An impact assessment shall be conducted after the characterization of the Environment and once a Conceptual Plan has been developed.
5.0	The impact assessment must include the application of the Mitigation Hierarchy. The Mitigation Hierarchy must be documented to prioritize the determination of avoidance, minimization and mitigation to alleviate environmental harm and the removal of natural heritage and hydrologic features. Requests for consideration of natural heritage compensation are always treated as a last resort outcome.
	The MESP will include:
	 Assessment of impacts on surface and groundwater resources Development footprint and site grading

	> Assessment of the impacts of the development on the surface water and		
	groundwater systems and any mitigation measures required prior to		
	construction		
	Define impacts of buried services and roads		
	• List mitigation and enhancement techniques to achieve sub-watershed study		
	recommendations (as available)		
	Recommend list of acceptable LID techniques to maintain water budget, based		
	upon long list of general mitigation techniques previously advanced (see Section		
	5); final LID and Best Management Practices (BMPs) to be established at the		
	detailed design stage. Provide target information values for landowners.		
	 Apply and advance the recommendations from the Sub-watershed Study (as available) related to be dwater draining for turning completed as part of the sub- 		
	watershed studies or related studies as available. The MESP shall recommend		
	management scenarios for each feature based on established protocols and		
	management scenarios in the sub-watershed studies (as available)		
	Characterization of groundwater guality where potential exists for development to		
	alter conditions (e.g., individual septic systems)Assess impacts on aquatic and		
	aquatic habitats and recommend suitable mitigation, enhancement, and		
	compensation measures where applicable including consultation summary with		
	MNRF to address implications on aquatic SAR (e.g. Redside Dace)Assess		
	impacts on vegetation and vegetative communities and recommend suitable		
	mitigation measures, enhancements and compensation where applicable		
	 Assess impacts on woodlands and recommend suitable mitigation measures, enhancements and compensation where applicable. 		
	Assess impacts on wildlife and wildlife babitat and recommend suitable mitigation		
	enhancement and compensation measures where applicable		
	 Apply and advance the recommendations from the Sub-watershed Study (as 		
	available) related to Greenway System corridors / linkages		
	• Apply and advance the recommendations from the sub-watershed study (as		
	available) related to channel protection, buffers and / or setback delineation in		
	accordance with criteria established in the current Official Plan and related Official		
	Plan Amendments (OPAs)		
	 Identify enhancement and compensation requirements based on recommandations from higher level studies 		
	Effects on connectivity, and fragmentation and isolation of habitat		
	 Complete a feature specific water budget analyses and identify mitigation 		
	enhancement and compensation measures as applicable		
	• Assess impacts to, and identify protection, enhancement and compensation		
	approaches as applicable for the management of species at risk based on the		
	federal Species At Risk Act (SARA) and / or the Provincial Endangered Species		
	Act (ESA)		
	• Description of how the recommended watercourse and stormwater management		
	strategy and Greenway System address requirements of higher level studies		
	Integrated assessment of impacts to interconnection between the existing Groopway System with groupdwater surface water wetlands weedlands and		
	other natural heritage features		
	Limits of Development		
	Conduct Constraints Analysis based on, but not limited to: Soil mapping. slope		
	stability analysis, erosion hazard analysis, fluvial geomorphology, watercourse		
6.0	characterization with meander belt, erosion threshold analysis, post-development		
0.0	floodplain analysis (identify local flooding constraints), ecological constraints,		
	significant valley lands and woodlands mapping, fish habitat assessment, Species		
	at Risk assessment, preliminary grading analysis, and natural heritage concerns,		
7.0	constraints and / or opportunities mapping		
1.0	Froposed Development Fian and Municipal Servicing		

•	Water supply servicing
	Existing infrastructure
	Availability of external services
	Expected population and demand
	> Future population (Ultimate Scenario) within in the catchment area in
	accordance with current Official Plan (OP)
	> Identification of proposed / permitted connection points to existing water supply
	systems
	Pressure districts
	> Design criteria (average, daily, hourly, fire water demand, pressure, and pipe
	roughness)
	Proposed infrastructure and servicing plan
	> Water distribution modelling and pressures during maximum day, peak hour,
	minimum hour and maximum day plus fire conditions
	> Servicing constraints (Regional, Municipal and Internal), expansion, and
	upgrade requirements to support the proposed development
•	Wastewater / Sanitary Servicing
	Existing infrastructure
	> Identification of proposed / permitted connection points to existing wastewater
	servicing systems
	Existing service areas and flows
	Design criteria (generation rates and infiltration contribution) for growth
	Proposed infrastructure and servicing plan
	Expected population and wastewater generation
	> Future Population (Ultimate Scenario) within the catchment area in accordance
	with the current OP
	> Expected sanitary flow from the proposed and future developments within the
	area
	Prepare and implement monitoring plan at key locations as required
	Wastewater servicing model inclusive of existing and proposed service areas
	Servicing constraints (Regional and Municipal scale), expansion, and upgrade
	requirements to support the proposed development
٠	Stormwater Management (SWM) and Servicing
	> Pre and post development drainage boundaries, including major and minor
	drainage systems
	> Evaluate the existing storm sewer and stormwater management system;
	identify the constraint and opportunities, and recommend upgrades to the
	existing system as necessary to accommodate the future developments in the
	study area and possibly lands external to the study area
	> SWM design criteria (quantity control, quality control, water balance, erosion
	control)
	> SWM plan to include Best Management Practices (BMPs) and a combination
	of control options (site level, conveyance, and end-of-pipe), preliminary design
	of SWM facilities and conceptual storm servicing plan.
	Hydrologic modeling (pre and post development)
	Post development water budget - determine infiltration targets
	Propose Low Impact Development (LID) measures
	Consultation summary with MNRF / MECP to address implication on SARS
	(e.g. Kedside Dace)
	> Hydraulic analysis - major intrastructure (floodplain, culverts, and crossings,
	etc.)
•	Floodplain modelling and mapping
	> Existing conditions floodplain model and mapping needs to be updated to
	identify flooding issues (for both river and tableland flooding)
	Proposed conditions floodplain model and mapping should be updated to
	reflect on future developments (for both river and tableland flooding) to

	highlight any flooding impacts
	I o accommodate future developments, explore options to alleviate flooding, which could include, but not limited to:
	which could include, but not inflited to.
	 relocate and re-design the drainage system:
	 cut-fill analysis: or
	 a combination of the above
	> All remedial options should be evaluated based on cost, environmental, social,
	technical, etc., in consultation with the TRCA, and any applicable approval
	agencies. The preferred remedial options should be selected
	Preliminary Grading
	> Existing grading including existing topography and general grading / sloping
	direction(s) of site, location of high and low areas
	Grading criteria including consideration of positive drainage of sewers and
	overland flows by gravity to receiving systems, ensurance of acceptable
	grading of site and roads
	Proposed grading including proposed preliminary grading concept plan, location of high and low areas grading constraints in relation to existing and
	proposed servicing infrastructure and environmental / ecological features
	requirements for cut / fill and retaining wall(s), consideration of existing and
	future grades of surrounding areas)
	> High level recommendations and principles to be applied for site management
	and phasing, related to minimizing erosion and sediment discharge to
	receiving watercourses during construction, consistent with City Engineering
	Standards
	• Conceptual natural channel design (if required) for relocated watercourse
	Resementing
	 Design criteria (hydrology hydraulics channel dimensions terrestrial and
	aquatic habitat)
	Geomorphic field assessment
	Design constraints
	> Corridor requirements (flood conveyance, erosion hazard limits, aquatic
	habitat, terrestrial habitat)
	Fish habitat impacts and mitigation, enhancement or it appropriate,
	 Design concents (plan view profile, typical sections, etc.)
	 Design concepts (plan view, prome, typical sections, etc.) Barrier removal opportunities
	 Consultation summary with MNRE where Redside Dace (and / or other species)
	at risk) habitats may be affected
	• Road crossing, cycling and pedestrian bridge crossing, and trail system
	conceptual designs
	Based on recommendations from relevant studies (where available), complete
	conceptual design of road crossings, cycling and pedestrian bridge crossing,
	and trail system including consideration of requirements related to hydraulics,
	Transportation
	I he MESP at minimum will include:
	Introduction
8.0	Study assumptions
0.0	Rationale and location of crossings as related to the Greenway System
	Intersection operation methodology
	Existing Conditions Other and ensertiation
	Study area road potwork (including transit, bike and podestrian)
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

(
	 Transit service Existing traffic volumes Existing traffic intersection operations Future background traffic conditions Planned network improvements Traffic growth Other area developments Background traffic volumes Background traffic intersection operations Proposed development Development statistics
	 Vehicular trip generation Non-auto trip generation Trip distribution and assignment
	 Total traffic conditions Total traffic volumes Total traffic intersection operations Transportation demand management Recommended transportation network Road classification Non-auto facilities (Including transit, bike and pedestrian) Future transit service Right of way Cross sections
	Phasing
9.0	 The MESP will include: Construction phasing and staging Mobility connectivity - internal and external Requirements for interim municipal servicing and environmental management,
	and associated recommendations
	General and Public Consultation
10.0	 The MESP will: Outline how all consultation requirements have been met for the Planning Act and the Municipal Class EA for the first two phases in the Planning and Design Process of the Class EA for all major road, water and wastewater projects at a minimum, where applicable Include appropriate consultation within the context of the Planning Process
	Monitoring Monitoring requirements must be included in the MESP in accordance with findings of the MESP and any relevant environmental studies or other higher level documentations where applicable. This may include:
11.0	 Terrestrial and aquatic system Valleylands and Creek system Surface and Groundwater systems Water balance / water budget for all feature based natural systems During construction and post-construction monitoring activities Other monitoring requirements (e.g. MNRF, Region)
12.0	Future Study Requirements (Draft plan stage, detailed design stage, etc.)
13.0	Conclusion and Recommendations

2) <u>Functional Servicing Report (FSR)</u>

FSR provides a review of functional serviceability for a proposed development and verifies that water, sanitary, and storm sewer networks are adequate for servicing the development. It describes the location and nature of the existing municipal water, sanitary, and storm infrastructure that may be available to provide servicing for the proposed development. It outlines, in detail, the proposed servicing requirements for the development and indicates, where possible, the capacity of the existing mains to support the development.

FSR is prepared in support of development / re-zoning and intensification projects in order to streamline the review process. For large developments with significant environmental considerations, it requires an MESP followed by FSRs for development applications. In some instances, it may be appropriate to complete only an FSR and / or servicing brief for small scale developments.

The submission outlines for **FSR** includes, but not limited to, the following:

Section	Description		
1.0	 Introduction Objectives Description and location of the property (with map) Detailed description of the proposed development Phasing of the proposed development Background studies / references Include Design Criteria Checklist (Section K), as an Appendix and provide justification if any of the Design Criteria can not be met with proposed resolution 		
2.0	 Water Supply Servicing Existing servicing including existing watermain sizes and locations (with map), pressures district(s), possible connections, and current capabilities Design criteria including water demand criteria, estimated population, pressure criteria, conformity of water demand, and pressure to any higher order accepted study or existing infrastructure Proposed servicing including proposed servicing / water distribution concept plan, proposed connections to existing watermains, estimated consumption, servicing constraints or impact of proposed development on existing infrastructure, expansion, and upgrade requirements, if any, to support the proposed development, consideration of external areas Watermain Analysis A summary of all assumptions made in the hydraulic model Determine if the water demand exceeds the supply for the project, and how the project will affect the surrounding developments Determine the water demand, fire flow including fire demand calculations and peak hour demand, commercial and institutional demands Confirm with the City staff any problematic issues or deficiencies in meeting flow requirements Obtain current model data from the City staff and submit the city staff, in advance) 		
3.0	 Sanitary Servicing Existing sanitary sewer sizes and locations (with map), sanitary drainage plan and areas, possible connections, and current capacities Design criteria including generation rate(s), estimated population, Harmon peaking factor, infiltration contribution, conformity of discharge rate(s) to any higher order accepted study or design criteria Include rationale for any assumptions made 		

Submission Outlines for Various Engineering Reports for Development Applications

	• Proposed servicing including proposed servicing concept plan, proposed
	 connections to existing sewers, estimated sanitary flows, comparison with current capacities, servicing constraints or impact of proposed development on existing infrastructure, expansion and upgrade requirements, if required, to support the proposed development, consideration of external areas Detailed sanitary design sheets to show a minimum of one leg of the existing sewer downstream
	Stormwater Management and Storm Servicing
4.0	 The SWM scope of work will depend on the type, size, and complexity of application (e.g. OP / ZA / SPC / PLAN or TEC). Preliminary SWM information (high-level) is typically required for OP / ZA / PLAN applications. For TEC applications, detail SWM information is required. Provide SWM information (with applicable details, as necessary) in accordance with the scope of work and format requirements in the 'SWM Report' section of this document Applicant can provide the above SWM information in the FSR or as a separate SWM report
	Preliminary Grading
5.0	 Existing grading including existing topography and general grading / sloping direction(s) of site, and location of high and low areas Grading criteria including consideration of positive drainage of sewers and overland flows by gravity to receiving systems, ensurance of acceptable grading of site and roads Proposed grading including proposed preliminary grading concept plan, location
	 of high and low areas, grading constraints in relation to existing and proposed servicing infrastructure, and environmental / ecological features, requirements for cut / fill and retaining wall(s), consideration of existing and future grades of surrounding areas Recommendation for pavement structure, with reference to the submitted Geotechnical Report
	Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Report
6.0	 The ESC report's scope of work will depend on the type, size, and complexity of application (SPC, TEC, and SALT). Provide ESC report (with applicable details, as necessary) in accordance with the scope of work and format requirements in the 'ESC Report' section of this document Applicant can provide the above ESC report in the FSR or as a separate ESC report
	Water Supply Supporting Documents
7.0	 Detailed calculations for all domestic demand scenarios (i.e. Average Day, Maximum Day, Maximum Hour, Minimum Hour, Maximum Day + Fire) as listed in the City's <i>Design Criteria</i> section C2 A summary of all assumptions made for the calculations Detailed Required Fire Flows Calculations, if needed, as outlined in the current edition of <i>"Water Supply for Public Fire Protection, A Guide to Recommended Practice"</i> by the Fire Underwriters Survey Detailed Field Fire Flow Testing Results as outlined in the current edition of <i>"M17 Fire Hydrants: Installation, Field Testing and Maintenance"</i> by the American Water Works Association or <i>"NFPA291 Recommended Practice for Water Flow Testing and Marking of Hydrants"</i> by the National Fire Protection Association. Detailed InfoWater model results including: detailed pipe ID drawing model input and output tables under all demand scenarios as listed in the City's <i>Design Criteria</i> section C2 (i.e. Average Day, Maximum Day, Maximum Day).
5.0 6.0 7.0	 Applicant can provide the above SWM information in the FSR or as a sepa SWM report Preliminary Grading Existing grading including existing topography and general grading / slop direction(s) of site, and location of high and low areas Grading criteria including consideration of positive drainage of sewers overland flows by gravity to receiving systems, ensurance of acceptable gravit fight and low areas, ensurance of acceptable gravit fight and low areas, grading constraints in relation to existing and proposed reading including proposed preliminary grading concept plan, loca of high and low areas, grading constraints in relation to existing and proposed reliminary grading concept plan, loca of high and low areas, grading constraints in relation to existing and proposes ervicing infrastructure, and environmental / ecological features, requiremm for cut / fill and retaining wall(s), consideration of existing and future grade surrounding areas Recommendation for pavement structure, with reference to the submit Geotechnical Report Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Report The ESC report's scope of work will depend on the type, size, and complexit application (SPC, TEC, and SALT). Provide ESC report (with applicable det as necessary) in accordance with the scope of work and format requirement the 'ESC Report' section of this document Applicant can provide the above ESC report in the FSR or as a separate Freport Water Supply Supporting Documents Detailed calculations for all domestic demand scenarios (i.e. Average I Maximum Day, Maximum Hour, Minimum Hour, Maximum Day + Fire) as lit in the City's Design Criteria section C2 A summary of all assumptions made for the calculations Detailed Required Fire Flows Calculations, if needed, as outlined in the curre edition of "Water Supply for Public Fire Protection, A Guide to Recommer Practice' by the Fire Underwriters Survey Detailed Field Fire Flow

Digital water model in InfoWater format

3) Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Report

The submission outlines for **ESC Report** includes, but not limited to, the following:

Section	Description		
1.0	 Erosion and Sediment Control Plans In addition to the City of Markham Design Criteria (Section L), Standard Drawings for erosion and sediment control, the ESC plans shall follow the most recent version of the TRCA's Erosion & Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban Construction dated 2019. The ESC report and drawings shall be signed and stamped by a P.Eng. The ESC report shall include, but not limited to, the following: Details of erosion protection at: Inlet / outlet structure Site entrance Catchbasins Overland flow sections including berms and spillways Mud and dust control Introduction / purpose of ESC report Location plan Existing site conditions and receiving watercourse / drainage system Proposed alteration plan, including cut-fill area Plan view of entire subdivision / site showing details of the erosion and sediment control measures Detail design of Erosion & Sediment control Measures (e.g. sediment control pond, sediment trap) and drawings Controls require prior to / during topsoil stripping Controls require during municipal servicing works Controls require during building construction and after construction Stockpile details Outfall locations Cronss section of the sediment basin (if applicable) Monitoring plan Controls requered from the adjacent property, a Permission Letter from the adjacent landowner must be obtained and included in the report. 		

4) Stormwater Management (SWM) Report

A SWM report is prepared in order to meet conditions set at the Draft Plan, Site Plan, or MESP stage. The SWM report must provide the required design and supporting calculations for all areas of the proposed stormwater management system. The SWM report shall contain detailed design of stormwater controls and environmental restoration works, delineation / confirmation of constraint boundaries, sediment / erosion control plans, hydraulic and hydrologic analyses, and preservation and restoration / remediation plans.

For small developments (e.g. single lot, small commercial site), the SWM report can be customized in a SWM Brief, at a much smaller scale as compared to a SWM report. The SWM brief will summarize how the proposed design shall meet the stormwater management targets for water quality, quantity, erosion, water balance (infiltration) and the protection of natural features for the proposed development / project as required.

The submission outlines for **SWM Report** includes, but not limited to, the following:

Section	Description	Page No.
	Objectives	
	Description and location of site	
1.0	Description of proposed development	
	• Referenced background studies / reports; watershed, sub-watershed,	
	MESP, FSR, SWM reports and identify any deviations from the latest	
	City's guidelines and the MECP's Stormwater Management	
	Existing/Pre-development Stormwater Drainage	
	• A map of existing contours and pre-development catchment areas,	
	including runoff coefficients and external contributing areas	
	 Existing storm drainage plan and areas including existing sewers and existing storm drainage plan and areas including existing sewers and 	
	ovenand now drainage systems and direction(s), current capacities,	
2.0	Existing SWM facilities and location including Low Impact	
2.0	 Existing SWM facilities and location, including Low impact Development (LID) measures 	
	 Detail calculations and input parameters to the hydrologic and 	
	hydraulic models	
	Electronic data files of input and output for pre-development conditions	
	 Complete computer output / input printouts and summaries 	
	 Identification of floodplain limits of all watercourses 	
	 Identification of existing watercourse crossings 	
	SWM Design Criteria	
	 Summary of the applicable SWM criteria to be met 	
	Stormwater quantity control criteria including allowable / pre-	
3.0	development discharge rate	
	Stormwater quality control criteria	
	Erosion control criteria	
	Water balance and Low Impact Development criteria	
	Proposed Post-development Swill	
	 Proposed Storm Drainage Plan showing the post-development drainage areas and runoff coefficients existing / proposed SWM 	
	facilities / LID location(s) and external areas	
4.0	 Proposed Storm Servicing Plan showing the proposed minor / sewer 	
	and major / overland flow routes systems and directions, and existing /	
	proposed SWM facilities / LID location(s)	
	Proposed Grading Plan showing the existing and proposed grades of	

	the proposed development	
	Proposed water quantity control including discharge rates and on-site	
	storage (Provide a summary table comparing the Pre and Post	
	development scenarios)	
	Proposed water quality control Detailed design of prepaged CW/M facilities (a.g. Wet pend	
	• Detailed design of proposed SWIM facilities (e.g. wet pond,	
	provided If the SW/M facilities are privately, owned provide the details	
	here in this SWM report. On the other hand, if the proposed SWM	
	facility, such as a wet pond, dry pond, OGS, LIDs or underground	
	SWM facility, is to be ultimately assumed and owned by the City (City-	
	owned), then a separate SWM Pond / Facility Design Report should	
	also be submitted with the design details. Refer to the SWM Pond /	
	Facility Design report section for format and requirements.	
	("Design guidance for underground SWM lacility is being developed by	
	reviewed on a case-by-case basis until engineering design guidance	
	becomes available. Specific relevant information for underground	
	SWM facility will be required for review)	
	Detailed design of LID measures, their location and design drawings	
	• Outline of the maintenance and monitoring program for the proposed	
	SWM facilities	
	• Detail design calculations and input parameters for hydrologic and	
	nydraulic models	
	 Proposed catchbasin linet control devices and onlice pipe controls Proposed minor system capacities and proposed connections 	
	 Proposed major system capacities full capture locations and flow. 	
	depth	
	 Verification that major overland flow routes do not impact properties 	
	and that road gutter flows are within City parameters	
	• Electronic data files of input and output for post-development	
	conditions	
	Complete computer input / output printouts and summaries	
	• Summary of how all City and Watershed SWM criteria has been	
	Satisfied	
	• Expansion and upgrades requirements, in required, to support the	
	 Erosion and sediment control measures to be implemented including 	
	design plans	
	Hydraulic Gradeline (HGL) Analysis	
	(HGL analysis shall also be included in the engineering drawings)	
	100-Year hydraulic grade lines to be calculated for all pipes	
	Basement elevations to be evaluated for surcharge / flooding potential	
	Snow street names associated with each pipe segment Show pipe upstream and downstream inverte sizes length slapes	
5.0	 Snow pipe upstream and downstream inverts, sizes, length, slopes, and manning n values 	
	Show pipe flows and flow velocities	
	Show pipe friction losses, manhole losses and velocity head	
	Show pipe surcharge conditions, and upstream and downstream HGL	
	• Show the freeboard between the upstream HGL and the basement	
	elevation	
	Channel Design or Alteration (if required)	
6.0	Location	
	Sizing calculations (Tiow, velocity, etc.)	
	venucal and lateral erosion rates	

	 Detailed design plans (plan / profile) Fluvial geomorphology components (low flow / backfill / floodplain width, inverts and slope, tractive force / erosion analysis, etc.) 	
7.0	Summary & Conclusions	

5) SWM Pond / Facility Design Report

A standalone report for each SWM pond / facility shall be prepared in accordance with the City's Stormwater Management Guideline and other applicable agencies' requirement.

If an underground SWM facility is proposed instead of a SWM pond, the Underground SWM Facility Design Report format shall be determined with the City on a case-by-case basis. Design guidance for underground SWM facility is being developed by the City. As such, proposed underground SWM facility will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis until engineering design guidance becomes available. Specific relevant information for underground SWM facility will be required for review.

The submission outlines for SWM Pond / Facility Design Report includes, but not limited to, the following:

Section	Description	Page No.	
	Introduction		
1.0	Objectives		
	Description and location of site		
	Description of proposed development		
	• Referenced background studies / reports; watershed, sub-watershed,		
	MESP, FSR, SWM reports and identify any deviations from the latest		
	City's guidelines the MECP's Stormwater Management		
	SWM Design Criteria		
	Quantity control		
2.0	Quality control		
	Erosion control		
	Water balance		
	Drainage Plan and Hydrologic Modelling		
	 Existing conditions drainage plan and modelling 		
2.0	 Proposed conditions drainage plan and modelling 		
3.0	Rainfall data used		
	Sub-Catchments parameters		
	Model schematics		
	SWM Pond Design and Operating Characteristics* (if applicable)		
	Proposed SWM Scheme		
	Volume requirements		
	Quality control volume		
	Erosion control volume		
	Quantity control volume		
	SWM Facility Layout and Grading		
	Permanent pool volume & elevation and thermal mitigation		
	measures provided		
4.0	 Sediment Forebay 		
	 Sediment of obdy Active storage 		
	 Stage-storage-discharge relationships 		
	Inlet configuration		
	 Minor flow 		
	Major flow-overland flow route		
	Outlet configuration		
	Low flow control (extended detention)		
	Higher flow control		
	Emergency spillway		

	Maintenance access road	
	Geotechnical and Hydrogelogical Considerations	
	Soil types and groundwater level	
	Side slopes and berm stability analysis	
	Clay liner	
	Dewatering-short term & long term	
	Erosion Protection Measures	
	Storm inlet	
	Overland flow route	
	Storm outlet and outfall channel	
	Emergency spillway	
	Underground SWM Facility Design Details (if applicable)	
	• If an underground SWM facility is proposed instead of a SWM pond,	
	the Underground SWM Facility Design Report format shall be	
	determined with the City on a case-by-case basis	
5.0	Design guidance for underground SWM facility is being developed by	
0.0	the City. As such, proposed underground SWM facility will be	
	reviewed on a case-by-case basis until engineering design guidance	
	becomes available. Specific relevant information for underground	
	SWM facility will be required for review (and may be subject to peer-	
	review)	
	OGS and Low Impact Development (LID) Measures Design Details (if	
	applicable)	
6.0	Inis section is only for Municipally-owned SWM measures (for ECA	
	purposes). For privately owned OGS & LIDs, their details should be	
7.0	documented in the Swim report.	
7.0	Erosion and Sediment Control Details	
0.0	Planting and Restoration	
0.0	This section to be reviewed the Urban Design department	
	Operation and Maintenance (O&M)	
9.0	A separate O&M manual shall be provided for each SWM facility	
	See the requirement for an O&M in Section 7 of this document	
10.0	Conclusion	

6) SWM Pond Operation and Maintenance (O & M) Report

An Operation and Maintenance (O & M) Report shall be prepared in accordance with the City of Markham Stormwater Management Guidelines (October 2016) and Inspection and Maintenance Guide for Stormwater Management Ponds and Constructed Wetlands (TRCA, 2016). In addition, the O & M report shall include all tables presented in this section.

If an underground SWM facility is proposed instead of a SWM pond, the Underground SWM Facility O&M Report format shall be determined with the City on a case-by-case basis. Design guidance for underground SWM facility is being developed by the City.

The submission outlines for SWM Pond O & M Report includes, but not limited to, the following:

Section	Description	Page No.
1.0	 Maintenance methods and procedures i.e. procedure for drawing down SWM pond forebay during sediment removal 	
2.0	Sediment removal techniques	
3.0	Annual loading rates and estimates of sediment accumulation rate	
4.0	Estimate of maintenance / cleaning frequency	
5.0	Inspection procedures and frequency	

SWM Pond Sediment Frequency Removal Data

Input	Value	Unit
Drainage Area		ha
Imperviousness		%
Level 1 Enhanced Storage Required (80% TSS Design Efficiency)		m³/ha
Level 2 Normal Storage Required (70% TSS Design Efficiency)		m³/ha
Provided Permanent Pool Storage Per Design / ECA		m ³
Provided Permanent Pool Storage Per As-built (By City)		m ³
Estimated Annual Loading Rate (Per MECP 2003)		Kg / ha / Year
Annual Sediment Loading		m ³
Provided Forebay Depth		m
Output		
Permanent Pool Volume for 5% TSS Efficiency Loss		m ³ /ha
Provided Pool Storage Minus Pool Volume at 5% Efficiency Loss		m ³
Sediment Drying Area Footprint (assume 1m depth)		m²
Sediment Volume Provided by Drying Area		m ³
Annual Sediment Loadings Captured (Removal at Design TSS Efficiency)		m ³
Maintenance Frequency to Reach 5% Efficiency Loss		Years
Average Sediment Surface Elevation at 5% Efficiency Loss		m

7) <u>Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) Phase One and Phase Two Reports and Environmental</u> <u>Clearance and Reliance Letter</u>

The requirements for an ESA are identified in the *City's Environmental Policy and Procedures for Conveyance of Land to the City Pursuant to the Planning Act* (*"the Policy"*). These requirements must be followed if there is any land to be conveyed to the City under the Planning Act. The lands to be conveyed to the City shall be defined as any land or easement to be conveyed to the City, in accordance with *the Policy*.

The Phase One ESA and Phase Two ESA have to be completed in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04 – Records of Site Condition, as may be amended from time to time ("O. Reg. 153 / 04, as amended"), under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act

The Phase One ESA and Phase Two ESA are to be used as part of a Record of Site Condition (RSC) process.

The RSC requirements must follow the requirements of the Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act and O. Reg. 153/04, as amended.

A Phase one ESA is a desktop exercise that includes a review of background documents, a site visit, and interviews with relevant parties to determine if soil and / or groundwater may have been contaminated by the site or adjacent sites land uses.

A Phase One Environmental Site Assessment requires the Qualified Person ("QP") to:

- identify any potentially contaminating activity in the phase one study area, including the phase one property
- identify areas of potential environmental concern on the phase one property
- determine if a phase two environmental site assessment is needed (for some types of property uses and circumstances, a phase two environmental site assessment is mandatory)

The *QP* shall be defined as the person who meets the qualifications prescribed by the *Environmental Protection Act* and *O. Reg.* 153 / 04, as amended.

 A Phase Two ESA is an intrusive investigation (e.g. boreholes / monitoring wells, test pits, etc.) and assessment of a property's, surface and subsurface media (e.g. soil and / or groundwater sampling) in the areas of potential environmental concern, as identified in the Phase One ESA. It may also include soil and / or groundwater remediation. This information is necessary to file a RSC and perform a Risk Assessment ("RA").

A RSC is a formal submission on *Ontario's Environmental Registry*, together with all supporting documentation, acknowledged by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks ("*MECP*") as certified by a *QP*, indicating the environmental conditions of the site, and its suitability for the proposed use.

A RSC summarizes the environmental (soil and / or groundwater) conditions of the property based on ESA reports. The RSC confirms the property meets either generic or property-specific standards (developed through a RA process).

An Environmental Clearance and Reliance Letter from a *QP* to the City for all lands or interests in lands to be conveyed to the City to the satisfaction of the City of Markham is required, as well. The Environmental Clearance and Reliance Letter will be completed in accordance with the City's standard (see attached) and will be signed by the *QP* and a person authorized to bind the Owner's company. The City will not accept any modifications to the standard Environmental Clearance and Reliance Letter, except as and where indicated in the template.

8) <u>Geotechnical Report</u> The submission outlines for Geotechnical Report (for Buildings, Roads, and SWM Pond construction) includes, but not limited to, the following: (Also refer Design Criteria (Section I)

Section	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction. Include Key Map	
2.0	 Site and project description A description of the Site location Description of the material that makes up the area Existing condition of the site, and what is being proposed for the site 	
3.0	 Field Work A description of the Field Work that was completed (i.e.: number of boreholes and monitoring wells, and their locations and depth Include a statement that the field work was supervised and the findings were recorded by a Geotechnical Technician Include a statement indicated the system with which the geodetic elevations was obtained 	
4.0	 Subsurface Conditions Refer to attached subsurface profile figures Make reference to a Borehole Location Plan and the borehole logs A description of each of the encountered subsurface conditions 	
5.0	 Groundwater Conditions Check boreholes for the presence of groundwater and the occurrence of cave-in level Include a Summary Table for the groundwater levels observed at each borehole / monitoring well 	
6.0	 Discussion and Recommendations Include a section on the recommendation for pavement structure, if applicable Discuss stripping, sub-excavation and grading of the site for the proposed works Recommendation for fill material, backfilling, engineered fill, bedding, basement, foundations, and footings Calculations of lateral earth pressures acting on foundation and basement walls Reference to hydrogeological study for the site (if any) 	
7.0	Conclusions and General Comments	
8.0	Appendix for figures, drawings, calculations, borehole location plan, borehole logs	

9) Hydrogeological / Water Balance Analysis Report

The submission outlines for **Hydrogeological / Water Balance Analysis Report** includes, but not limited to, the following:

Section	Description	Page No.
1.0	 Introduction Address and General site location of the subject property Brief description of the proposed development and overview of the study area Purpose of the study 	
2.0	 Proposal Description and Context A description of the proposal, development stats (such as number of units, site area) type of development proposed, height, parking areas, access points, location of amenity areas, proposed phasing A description of the existing on-site conditions as well as surrounding areas, roads, natural areas, buildings, parking areas Concept Plan for the development including building location, parking, access, amenity areas, grading and natural features and any natural hazards 	
3.0	 Existing Conditions Description of topography and drainage patterns, regional (watershed or larger) physiography map of the study area showing the site Description of surficial and bedrock material, Summary of on-site borehole information, characterization of soil stratigraphy, Description of test pits / boreholes and monitoring wells / piezometers on site including date of construction/abandonment Provision of private well Survey within 500 m of the site Hydrogeology / Hydrostratigraphy: Aquifer properties, groundwater levels, groundwater flow direction and hydraulic conductivity Description of surface and groundwater quality Identify source water protection: wellhead protection areas, transport pathways, significant drinking water threats, existing conditions / issues Identify ecologically significant groundwater recharge areas 	
4.0	 Potential Short / Long-term Impact Assessment Description of the changes to groundwater levels, flow direction Description of reduction to infiltration / recharge / discharge rates and volumes on varying time scales Description of the changes to groundwater discharge (Base-flow) Description of the changes to groundwater quality: Private water supply servicing and discharge water as a result of dewatering activities Identify impacts to nearby receiving surface waters (wetlands, watercourses or other significant features) and environmental features Temporary (during construction) and permanent (post-construction) dewatering if required 	
5.0	 Water Balance Analysis Obtain precipitation values from a reliable source such as 	

	 Environment Canada Meteorological Services for the area (utilize closest station with adequate data) Estimate of local values for major water balance components (evapotranspiration, surplus, runoff, and infiltration) for predevelopment, post-development and post-development with mitigation conditions Calculations of impervious areas that reflect actual conditions based on the proposed site plan or a reasonable range of impervious areas used in those cases where only a conceptual development plan is provided Runoff coefficients consistent with generally accepted numbers The water balance is required to take into account the changes to grading / topography and land cover Grain size analysis for both the fill material and on-site soils to confirm fill material is similar to existing soil conditions (maybe recommended). Appropriate catchments should be used within the analysis (i.e. delineate catchments based on drainage, grades, vegetation, soils and show how infiltration and runoff will change within these zones for both pre- and post-development). All calculations should be provided in a table format which clearly demonstrates that inputs (precipitation, additional runoff, water from 	
	demonstrates that inputs (precipitation, additional runoff, water from municipal wells, etc.) are equal to outputs (i.e. infiltration, runoff, water use)	
6.0	 Mitigation Measures Maintenance of Infiltration / Recharge Maintenance of Groundwater Quality Monitoring Program Contingency Plans (ensure consistency) The extent of the negative impact Details of the existing or pre-construction state of all the infrastructure, City sewage works and natural environment within the affected zone 	
7.0	Conclusions & Recommendations	

10) <u>Noise Study</u>

The submission outlines for a Noise Study is included in Section 'O' (Attachment 'F') of Design Criteria.

11) Transportation Impact Study (TIS)

Section	Description	Page No.
1.0	Executive Summary	
	Introduction	
	Project Description	
2.0	Application Type	
	All Relevant Information & Statistics about the Subject Site	
	Scope of Work and Study Assumptions	
2.0	Study Area	
3.0	Study Horizon(s)	
	Analysis Periods	
	Level of Service Analysis Methodology	
	Existing Conditions	
	Site and Area Description	
1.0	• Existing Transportation Network (Road, Active Transportation,	
4.0	I ransit)	
	• Existing Level of Service Analysis (Auto Dedectrian Biovelo and	
	 Existing Level of Service Analysis (Auto, Fedestinan, Bicycle and Transit mode performances) 	
	Future Background Conditions	
	Planned Transportation Improvements	
	Background Traffic Forecast	
5.0	 Traffic Growth 	
	 Other Area Developments 	
	• Background Traffic Level of Service Analysis (Auto, Pedestrian,	
	Bicycle, and Transit mode performances)	
	Proposed Development	
	• Site Plan	
	• Proposed Transportation Improvements (road network, active	
6.0	transportation network, site accesses, traffic control, parking, etc.)	
	Venicular Trip Generation	
	Trip Distribution and Assignment	
	Future Total Traffic Conditions	
	Future Total Traffic Forecast	
7.0	• Future Total Traffic Level of Service Analysis (Auto, Pedestrian,	
	Bicycle and Transit mode performances)	
	Recommended Improvements	
8.0	Site Circulation Review	
	Transportation Demand Management	
9.0	Proposed soft and hard I DM strategies (including responsibility and east estimate)	
	• TDM Plan	
10.0	Parking Assessment	
	By-law Requirement	
	Proposed Parking Supply	
	Parking Justification Study (if required)	
11.0	Conclusions & Recommendations	

The submission outlines for **TIS** includes, but not limited to, the following:

12) Internal Functional Traffic Design Study (IFTDS)

The submission outlines for IFTDS includes, but not limited to, the following:

Section	Description	Page No.
	Introduction	
1.0	Site Description	
	Reference Reports	
	Development Context	
2.0	Site Location	
	Proposed Land Uses and Phasing	
	Total Traffic Conditions	
3.0	Future Traffic Volumes	
	Total Traffic Intersection operations	
	Road Classification, Right-of-Way, and Cross-Sections	
	Non-Auto Facilities	
	Sidewalks	
	Cycling Facilities	
4.0	On-Street Parking	
	Proposed Road Network and Cross-Sections	
	Arterial Roads Main and Minor Callester Decide	
	Major and Minor Collector Roads	
	Vertical Curves Horizontal Curves and Sight Distances	
	Vertical Curves	
5.0	Horizontal Curves	
	Sight Distances	
	Intersection Design Requirements	
	Intersection Lane Configuration	
	Turning Lanes	
	Storage and Taper Lengths	
	Cycling and Pedestrian Treatments	
	Intersection Spacing	
	Intersection Angle	
	Design Vehicles	
6.0	Snowplow Vehicles	
	Garbage Trucks	
	Emergency Vehicles	
	➢ Bus	
	Heavy Vehicles	
	 Traffic Controls / Roundabout Design 	
	Corner Rounding / Daylight Triangle	
	Curb Radii	
	Elbow Design	
	I ransit Service	
7.0	Existing Transit Routes	
	Future Transit Service / Potential Routes	
	Bus Stop Locations	

8.0	Transportation Functional Design Plan	
9.0	Pavement Markings and Signage Plan	
Model Parameters	 All models submitted to the City of Markham for review must include a summary table at the front of the modeling section presenting all relevant assumptions, input and output files in addition to the following: A schematic flow diagram of the model must be included. The schematic and information must be consistent with other minor and major system diagrams / drawings provided in the report. Table summarizing pre and post development catchment input parameters (i.e. catchment number, area, percent impervious and CN value, etc.) Table summarizing pre and post development peak flows and storage volumes based on output from hydrologic modeling or comparison to volumes and target peak flows identified in any MESP Table to summarizing calculation for the major (100-Year) and minor (5-Year) flow systems. The format of the storm sewer sheets must conform to the City's standard sheets provided in Section 'E'. Table to compare calculated 100-Year hydraulic grade line elevations (plus specified freeboard) within storm sewer system to estimated underside of basement floor slab elevations 	